

**Missouri Bar
2020 Trial Court Evaluation - Lawyer Survey
Judge: Clinton R. Wright
22nd Judicial Circuit**

Professional Interaction:

1) Estimated number of evaluator's cases the judge has presided over during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 68 %
6 - 10 cases 20 %
More than 10 cases 13 %

2) Estimated number of evaluator's cases that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 65 %
6 - 10 cases 20 %
More than 10 cases 15 %

3) Of cases before the judge that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office, the rulings were:

Primarily in your party's favor 10 %
About equally in favor of and against your party 75 %
Primarily against your party 13 %
N/A 3 %

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge was prepared for court.	0	1	2	13	23	0	4.49
The judge treated the parties equally.	0	2	2	6	30	0	4.60
The judge used courtroom time efficiently.	0	2	5	10	23	0	4.35
The judge addressed individuals (e.g. attorneys, court staff, litigants, witnesses, and the public) respectfully in the courtroom.	0	1	0	2	37	0	4.88
The judge made sure all parties (attorneys and their clients) understood the court proceedings.	0	1	1	5	32	1	4.74
The judge started courtroom proceedings on time.	0	3	2	11	24	0	4.40
The judge conducted proceedings in an impartial manner.	0	2	3	3	32	0	4.63

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge allowed appropriate time for each proceeding.	0	1	2	4	33	0	4.72
The judge maintained a professional demeanor in the courtroom.	0	1	0	5	34	0	4.80
The judge listened carefully during court proceedings.	0	1	1	3	35	0	4.80
The judge adhered to rules of procedure appropriate to each proceeding.	0	1	1	8	30	0	4.67
The judge applied rules of evidence relevant to each proceeding.	0	2	1	6	31	0	4.65
The judge assisted parties in narrowing key issues in dispute.	0	2	2	8	24	4	4.50
The judge carefully considered arguments from both sides before ruling.	0	2	1	5	32	0	4.67
The judge gave reasons for a ruling when needed.	0	2	2	7	28	0	4.56
The judge 's ruling(s) cited the applicable substantive law.	0	2	3	8	26	1	4.49
The judge 's ruling(s) followed logically from the evidence presented.	0	2	4	5	28	0	4.51
The judge issued clear written orders.	0	2	2	7	25	4	4.53
Considering the amount of applicable law and complexity of the pertinent legal questions, the judge's decisions were rendered promptly.	0	4	3	6	26	1	4.38