

**Missouri Bar  
2020 Trial Court Evaluation - Lawyer Survey  
Judge: David A. Roither  
22nd Judicial Circuit**

**Professional Interaction:**

1) Estimated number of evaluator's cases the judge has presided over during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 0 %  
6 - 10 cases 0 %  
More than 10 cases 0 %

2) Estimated number of evaluator's cases that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 0 %  
6 - 10 cases 0 %  
More than 10 cases 0 %

3) Of cases before the judge that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office, the rulings were:

Primarily in your party's favor 0 %  
About equally in favor of and against your party 0 %  
Primarily against your party 0 %  
N/A 0 %

**Survey Questions/Results:**

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge was prepared for court.	0	0	2	5	50	2	4.84
The judge treated the parties equally.	0	2	1	5	49	2	4.77
The judge used courtroom time efficiently.	0	0	1	7	50	1	4.84
The judge addressed individuals (e.g. attorneys, court staff, litigants, witnesses, and the public) respectfully in the courtroom.	1	1	0	2	52	2	4.84
The judge made sure all parties (attorneys and their clients) understood the court proceedings.	0	0	1	8	49	1	4.83
The judge started courtroom proceedings on time.	0	0	1	10	47	1	4.79
The judge conducted proceedings in an impartial manner.	0	1	2	4	50	2	4.81

**Survey Questions/Results:**

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge allowed appropriate time for each proceeding.	0	1	1	8	46	2	4.77
The judge maintained a professional demeanor in the courtroom.	0	2	0	4	51	2	4.82
The judge listened carefully during court proceedings.	0	0	2	5	50	2	4.84
The judge adhered to rules of procedure appropriate to each proceeding.	0	1	2	5	49	2	4.79
The judge applied rules of evidence relevant to each proceeding.	0	1	1	5	45	6	4.81
The judge assisted parties in narrowing key issues in dispute.	1	1	2	8	41	5	4.64
The judge carefully considered arguments from both sides before ruling.	0	1	3	4	49	2	4.77
The judge gave reasons for a ruling when needed.	0	2	1	6	48	2	4.75
The judge 's ruling(s) cited the applicable substantive law.	0	1	4	7	42	4	4.67
The judge 's ruling(s) followed logically from the evidence presented.	0	2	2	4	48	2	4.75
The judge issued clear written orders.	0	0	4	5	46	4	4.76
Considering the amount of applicable law and complexity of the pertinent legal questions, the judge's decisions were rendered promptly.	0	1	2	5	49	1	4.79