

**Missouri Bar
2020 Trial Court Evaluation - Lawyer Survey
Judge: Scott A. Millikan
22nd Judicial Circuit**

Professional Interaction:

1) Estimated number of evaluator's cases the judge has presided over during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 73 %
6 - 10 cases 11 %
More than 10 cases 16 %

2) Estimated number of evaluator's cases that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 71 %
6 - 10 cases 16 %
More than 10 cases 13 %

3) Of cases before the judge that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office, the rulings were:

Primarily in your party's favor 14 %
About equally in favor of and against your party 68 %
Primarily against your party 9 %
N/A 9 %

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge was prepared for court.	0	2	2	6	35	0	4.64
The judge treated the parties equally.	0	2	4	4	35	0	4.60
The judge used courtroom time efficiently.	0	1	0	12	32	0	4.67
The judge addressed individuals (e.g. attorneys, court staff, litigants, witnesses, and the public) respectfully in the courtroom.	0	1	1	6	36	0	4.75
The judge made sure all parties (attorneys and their clients) understood the court proceedings.	0	2	0	10	32	1	4.64
The judge started courtroom proceedings on time.	0	1	0	14	30	0	4.62
The judge conducted proceedings in an impartial manner.	0	2	3	7	33	0	4.58

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge allowed appropriate time for each proceeding.	0	1	1	7	35	0	4.73
The judge maintained a professional demeanor in the courtroom.	0	1	0	7	37	0	4.78
The judge listened carefully during court proceedings.	0	3	0	8	34	0	4.62
The judge adhered to rules of procedure appropriate to each proceeding.	1	1	1	11	31	0	4.56
The judge applied rules of evidence relevant to each proceeding.	1	2	2	7	31	2	4.51
The judge assisted parties in narrowing key issues in dispute.	0	2	4	11	23	5	4.38
The judge carefully considered arguments from both sides before ruling.	0	2	3	10	30	0	4.51
The judge gave reasons for a ruling when needed.	0	2	4	11	27	0	4.43
The judge 's ruling(s) cited the applicable substantive law.	1	4	4	10	21	4	4.15
The judge 's ruling(s) followed logically from the evidence presented.	1	3	6	8	26	1	4.25
The judge issued clear written orders.	0	1	4	8	28	4	4.54
Considering the amount of applicable law and complexity of the pertinent legal questions, the judge's decisions were rendered promptly.	0	1	2	10	30	2	4.60