

**Missouri Bar
2020 Trial Court Evaluation - Lawyer Survey
Judge: Kevin D. Harrell
16th Judicial Circuit**

Professional Interaction:

1) Estimated number of evaluator's cases the judge has presided over during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 78 %
6 - 10 cases 9 %
More than 10 cases 13 %

2) Estimated number of evaluator's cases that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 79 %
6 - 10 cases 7 %
More than 10 cases 14 %

3) Of cases before the judge that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office, the rulings were:

Primarily in your party's favor 18 %
About equally in favor of and against your party 60 %
Primarily against your party 14 %
N/A 8 %

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge was prepared for court.	3	3	8	24	47	0	4.28
The judge treated the parties equally.	3	4	7	11	60	0	4.42
The judge used courtroom time efficiently.	4	7	9	16	49	0	4.16
The judge addressed individuals (e.g. attorneys, court staff, litigants, witnesses, and the public) respectfully in the courtroom.	2	1	4	8	70	0	4.68
The judge made sure all parties (attorneys and their clients) understood the court proceedings.	3	2	7	12	59	2	4.47
The judge started courtroom proceedings on time.	3	4	8	29	41	0	4.19
The judge conducted proceedings in an impartial manner.	4	4	5	15	57	0	4.38

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge allowed appropriate time for each proceeding.	2	3	9	17	54	0	4.39
The judge maintained a professional demeanor in the courtroom.	2	2	3	11	66	0	4.63
The judge listened carefully during court proceedings.	2	4	5	13	61	0	4.49
The judge adhered to rules of procedure appropriate to each proceeding.	3	4	6	19	51	1	4.34
The judge applied rules of evidence relevant to each proceeding.	4	5	9	16	46	4	4.19
The judge assisted parties in narrowing key issues in dispute.	5	7	9	21	34	9	3.95
The judge carefully considered arguments from both sides before ruling.	3	6	8	15	51	0	4.27
The judge gave reasons for a ruling when needed.	5	7	11	13	47	2	4.08
The judge 's ruling(s) cited the applicable substantive law.	9	4	14	16	35	5	3.82
The judge 's ruling(s) followed logically from the evidence presented.	6	6	11	23	37	2	3.95
The judge issued clear written orders.	3	6	11	20	43	2	4.13
Considering the amount of applicable law and complexity of the pertinent legal questions, the judge's decisions were rendered promptly.	5	5	9	23	39	3	4.06