

**Missouri Bar
2020 Trial Court Evaluation - Lawyer Survey
Judge: Patrick W. Campbell
16th Judicial Circuit**

Professional Interaction:

1) Estimated number of evaluator's cases the judge has presided over during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 77 %
6 - 10 cases 10 %
More than 10 cases 13 %

2) Estimated number of evaluator's cases that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 78 %
6 - 10 cases 11 %
More than 10 cases 10 %

3) Of cases before the judge that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office, the rulings were:

Primarily in your party's favor 20 %
About equally in favor of and against your party 60 %
Primarily against your party 11 %
N/A 9 %

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge was prepared for court.	2	1	4	15	93	0	4.70
The judge treated the parties equally.	3	3	8	9	92	0	4.60
The judge used courtroom time efficiently.	1	1	7	17	87	0	4.66
The judge addressed individuals (e.g. attorneys, court staff, litigants, witnesses, and the public) respectfully in the courtroom.	0	4	7	10	93	0	4.68
The judge made sure all parties (attorneys and their clients) understood the court proceedings.	0	0	3	19	88	4	4.77
The judge started courtroom proceedings on time.	0	0	8	32	73	1	4.58
The judge conducted proceedings in an impartial manner.	3	4	5	11	90	0	4.60

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge allowed appropriate time for each proceeding.	0	1	10	18	86	0	4.64
The judge maintained a professional demeanor in the courtroom.	0	4	5	12	89	0	4.69
The judge listened carefully during court proceedings.	2	2	8	12	89	0	4.63
The judge adhered to rules of procedure appropriate to each proceeding.	0	2	7	17	87	1	4.67
The judge applied rules of evidence relevant to each proceeding.	1	3	4	20	77	9	4.61
The judge assisted parties in narrowing key issues in dispute.	4	3	5	23	64	13	4.41
The judge carefully considered arguments from both sides before ruling.	2	6	9	13	83	2	4.50
The judge gave reasons for a ruling when needed.	2	2	8	19	79	5	4.55
The judge 's ruling(s) cited the applicable substantive law.	3	0	14	15	69	10	4.46
The judge 's ruling(s) followed logically from the evidence presented.	3	1	11	23	71	5	4.45
The judge issued clear written orders.	1	2	12	18	77	3	4.53
Considering the amount of applicable law and complexity of the pertinent legal questions, the judge's decisions were rendered promptly.	1	1	9	24	77	3	4.56