

**Missouri Bar
2020 Trial Court Evaluation - Lawyer Survey
Judge: Louis Angles
7th Judicial Circuit**

Professional Interaction:

1) Estimated number of evaluator's cases the judge has presided over during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 31 %
6 - 10 cases 18 %
More than 10 cases 51 %

2) Estimated number of evaluator's cases that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 33 %
6 - 10 cases 18 %
More than 10 cases 48 %

3) Of cases before the judge that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office, the rulings were:

Primarily in your party's favor 33 %
About equally in favor of and against your party 55 %
Primarily against your party 2 %
N/A 11 %

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge was prepared for court.	0	0	1	3	63	0	4.93
The judge treated the parties equally.	1	0	0	2	64	0	4.91
The judge used courtroom time efficiently.	0	0	0	6	61	0	4.91
The judge addressed individuals (e.g. attorneys, court staff, litigants, witnesses, and the public) respectfully in the courtroom.	0	1	0	2	63	0	4.92
The judge made sure all parties (attorneys and their clients) understood the court proceedings.	0	0	1	10	55	1	4.82
The judge started courtroom proceedings on time.	0	0	1	10	55	0	4.82
The judge conducted proceedings in an impartial manner.	1	0	0	2	64	0	4.91

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge allowed appropriate time for each proceeding.	0	1	1	9	55	0	4.79
The judge maintained a professional demeanor in the courtroom.	0	1	0	2	63	0	4.92
The judge listened carefully during court proceedings.	1	0	0	3	61	0	4.89
The judge adhered to rules of procedure appropriate to each proceeding.	1	0	0	4	61	0	4.88
The judge applied rules of evidence relevant to each proceeding.	1	0	0	7	57	0	4.83
The judge assisted parties in narrowing key issues in dispute.	1	0	3	9	50	3	4.70
The judge carefully considered arguments from both sides before ruling.	1	0	0	6	57	1	4.84
The judge gave reasons for a ruling when needed.	0	0	2	12	51	2	4.75
The judge 's ruling(s) cited the applicable substantive law.	1	0	3	13	49	1	4.65
The judge 's ruling(s) followed logically from the evidence presented.	1	0	0	11	55	0	4.78
The judge issued clear written orders.	0	0	0	7	57	3	4.89
Considering the amount of applicable law and complexity of the pertinent legal questions, the judge's decisions were rendered promptly.	0	0	0	7	59	0	4.89