

Missouri Bar
 2018 Trial Court Evaluation - Lawyer Survey
 Judge: Jack Richard Grate (Judge)
 16th Judicial Circuit

Professional Interaction:

| 1) Estimated number of evaluator's cases the judge has presided over during the judge's current term of office. | 2) Estimated number of evaluator's cases that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office. | 3) Of cases before the judge that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office, the rulings were: |
|---|---|---|
| 0 - 5 cases 69 % | 0 - 5 cases 89 % | Primarily in your party's favor 21 % |
| 6 - 10 cases 19 % | 6 - 10 cases 8 % | About equally in favor of and against your party 49 % |
| More than 10 cases 12 % | More than 10 cases 3 % | Primarily against your party 11 % |
| | | N/A 19 % |

Survey Questions/Results:

| | Strongly Agree (5) | Agree (4) | Neither Agree nor Disagree (3) | Disagree (2) | Strongly Disagree (1) | N/A: Not Applicable | Average Rating |
|---|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| The judge treated the parties equally. | 40 | 34 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 3.94 |
| The judge carefully considered arguments from both sides before ruling. | 32 | 39 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 3.84 |
| The judge conducted the proceeding in a neutral manner. | 35 | 38 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 3.91 |
| The judge maintained a professional demeanor in the courtroom. | 35 | 33 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 3.80 |
| The judge was prepared for court. | 37 | 42 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 4.08 |
| The judge's ruling cited the applicable substantive law. | 28 | 34 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 3.76 |
| The judge adhered to appropriate rules of procedure. | 34 | 41 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 4.01 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|----|---|----|---|------|
| The judge applied rules of evidence relevant to the case. | 34 | 43 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 4.11 |
| The judge assisted parties in narrowing key issues in dispute. | 33 | 33 | 14 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 3.94 |
| The judge's decision followed logically from the evidence presented. | 33 | 37 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3.86 |
| The judge issued an order that was clearly written. | 32 | 33 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3.93 |
| The judge addressed individuals (e.g., attorneys, court staff, litigants, public, witnesses) respectfully in the courtroom. | 41 | 30 | 9 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 3.93 |
| The judge gave reasons for a ruling when needed. | 27 | 45 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 4.06 |
| The judge listened carefully during the court proceeding. | 28 | 44 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3.88 |
| The judge started courtroom proceedings on time. | 29 | 46 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3.98 |
| The judge allowed the appropriate amount of time for each case. | 26 | 47 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3.92 |
| The judge used courtroom time efficiently. | 29 | 46 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4.03 |
| The judge made sure all parties (attorneys and their clients) understood the court proceedings. | 29 | 47 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3.99 |
| Considering the amount of case law required to make the decision, the judge was prompt in rendering a decision. | 30 | 46 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4.11 |